

## CHARACTERISTICS OF ITEMS PROPOSED FOR CHANGE IN C&T AND WPS

Prepared by  
**Amy Brown, Kerry Richards, and Carol Ramsay**  
 with input from the American Association of Pesticide Safety Educators (AAPSE)  
 14 March 2006

<b>Proposed C &amp; T Change</b>	<b>Most Benefit to Safety, Environment, &amp;/or Security</b>	<b>Most Significant Programmatic Impact</b>	<b>Needs Most Discussion</b>
1. Expand users (beyond RUP) required to demonstrate competency	high benefit	extremely high impact on Ext. and SLA	yes
2. Eliminate “under the supervision”	high benefit	extremely high impact on Ext. and SLA	yes
3. Require RUP dealers to prove competency	--	high impact on SLA	--
4. Require trainers to prove competency	--	high impact on Extension and SLA	yes
5. Set minimum age for occupational users	--	--	--
6. Require testing for all occupational users	--	high impact on SLA	yes
7. Set standard requirements for testing	--	high impact on SLA	yes
8. Competency requirements consistent with risk	high benefit	--	--
9. Ensure continued competency of applicators <sup>1</sup>	--	--	--
10. Ensure maintenance of current knowledge <sup>2</sup>	high benefit	--	yes
11. Develop standard certification categories	--	high impact on Extension and SLA	--
12. Equalize standards for states/tribes/territories	--	--	--
13. Assure program accountability	--	--	yes

<b>Proposed WPS Change</b>	<b>Most Benefit to Safety, Environment, &amp;/or Security</b>	<b>Most Significant Programmatic Impact</b>	<b>Needs Most Discussion</b>
1. Ensure meaningful hazard communications	--	high impact on Ext. and SLA	--
2. Ensure meaningful training	--	--	--
3. Require trainers to demonstrate competency	high benefit	high impact on SLA	yes
4. Establish training verification system	--	high impact on SLA	--
5. Protect children from pesticide-treated fields	high benefit	--	--
6. Clarify vague WPS provisions	--	--	--
7. Clarify exceptions	--	--	--
8. Exempt certified crop advisors and aerial applicators	--	--	--
9. Require handlers to demonstrate competency	high benefit	extremely high impact on Ext. and SLA	yes
10. Express regulation in plain English	--	--	--
11. Assure program accountability	--	--	yes

<b>Proposed Label Change<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Most Benefit to Safety, Environment, &amp;/or Security</b>	<b>Most Significant Programmatic Impact</b>	<b>Needs Most Discussion</b>
1. Amend rules to make applicator certification changes enforceable	high benefit	--	--
2. Amend rules to make ag. worker protection changes enforceable	high benefit	--	--
3. Revise labels to conform to rule changes	high benefit	--	--

<sup>1</sup>We have re-worded this heading to make it consistent with the heading of the slide in which the proposed change is described. Our understanding is that this item refers to testing.

<sup>2</sup>We have re-worded this heading to make it consistent with the heading of the slide in which the proposed change is described. Our understanding is that this item refers to continuing education or recertification training.